



Form 6

Further Submission on Private Plan Change 83 proposed to the Operative Kaipara District Plan

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

This is a **further** submission in support of or in opposition to a submission already received by Kaipara
District Council on Private Plan Change 83 – The Rise Limited
No **new** submission can be made.

The purpose of this Private Plan Change is:

- To rezone 56.9ha of land at Cove Road and Mangawhai Heads Road from Rural Zone to Residential Zone, including consequential amendments to the Operative Kaipara District Plan Maps;
- The creation of a Precinct over top of the Residentially Zoned land with core provisions that to protect ecological features, promote high quality urban design, provide open space and connectivity; and
- Any necessary consequential amendments to the Operative Kaipara District Plan provisions.

You can read the Private Plan Change application documentation on the Kaipara District Council website here: www.kaipara.govt.nz/the-rise

Privacy Act Note

Please note that all information provided in your further submission is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and may be published to progress the process for the private plan change and may be made publicly available.

Further Submitter Details (Please note that any fields with an asterisk (*) are required fields and must be completed)							
First name*							
Surname*							
Postal address*							
				Postcode			
Contact phone	Your email address*						
Please select your preferred method o	f contact*		By email By po		y post		
Do you have an Agent who is acting on your behalf?			Yes		N	0	
Agent name Agent email a		lress		·			
Correspondence to*	Further submitte	er (you)		Agent		Both	

l a	I am (tick the most relevant box)			
	a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or			
	a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has; or			
	the local authority for the relevant area			



Your Further Submission must have the correct Submission No. and Submission Point/s from the original submission.

To find this information, refer to the Summary of Submissions that is on our website:

www.kaipara.govt.nz/the-rise

click on Submissions at the bottom of the web page

I (tick applicable)	support	Oppose the original su	ıbmission of submitter
Submitter Name:			Submission No:
			Submission Point/s:
Clearly indicate w	hich specific parts	of the original submissio	n you support or oppose
(You are welcome to ty submit it to our office)	pe this information in a s	eparate document and attach the	e document to this form when you



The reasons for my	support	oppositio	n are		
State, in summary, the nature of information in a separate docu					
Attendance and wish to	be heard at the hea	ring			
I wish to be heard in support of	my further submission			Yes	No
	If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them Yes No				
at the hearing	o foutbour ou busittou				
 Points to remember as a A copy of your further su 		t be served on the orion	ginal submitter with	nin five (5) w	orkina
	the Kaipara District Cou		giriai oddiriittor witi	(0) 11	orming
of completing this form	to send a copy of your n. You will find the Sub he-rise (click on Subm	omitter Contact Deta	ails on our websit		hin 5 days
Your signature:			Date:		
(A signatur	re is not required if you ma	ake your submission l	oy electronic mean	s.)	
Please return this further submi	ission form and any attac	hments no later than	5pm on Tuesday	17 Octobe	r 2023 to:
Kaipara District Council Private Bag 1001			_		
Dargaville 0340					

or Email: planchanges@kaipara.govt.nz or

Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville OR Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive Mangawhai Hand-deliver this further submission to:

Further Submission on Private Plan Change 83

Prepared by Joel Cayford (Submitter on PPC83)

Dated 15th October 2023

This is a further submission in respect to PPC83. This further submission is organised from broader strategic planning matters, to more specific issues.

Inadequate Provision for Public Infrastructure risks Financial Burden being placed on Community

Submitter	Point #		Reason for Support
3	General	Refers to Env Court decision re Mangawhai Central	Private Plan Changes that lead to requirement for public funded infrastructure, should require that subdivisions cannot proceed unless necessary infrastructure provided for in either a KDC LTP or Annual Plan
12	General	Concerns that public infrastructure needed to service the plan change – delivery and funding - needs to be provided for through LTP or Annual Plan	I share concerns that there needs to be specific provisions that prevent subdivision and development incrementally occurring which requires public funded infrastructure which is not funded from an equivalent development contribution policy as part of a related KDC Annual Plan or LTTP.
Others		Funding for roading and wastewater and stormwater infrastructure should not be born by other ratepayers – needs systematic treatment in PC provisions	There are many submitters expressing this concern. It was a key part of the public concerns about the Mangawhai Central private plan change. These learnings, findings and court decisions should be incorporated here as a matter of course and best practice.

Transition Between Rural and Urban inadequately provided for

Submitter	Point #		Reason for Support
2	2.1	Protect areas of bush	Provides eco-corridor links across and within
			Mangawhai

5	5.4	Lot sizes in keeping	Recognition of where the Rise is located in relation
		with environment	to Urban Mangawhai, and that urban densities
			need to to reduce accordingly
8	8.1	Reverse sensitivity due to proposed urban densities across the fence	There is a clear need to plan for organised density transitions between high density and low density environments due to risk of cumulative effects on stock, privacy, plantings and suchlike.
		from rural and low density development	
11	11.1, 11.3	Lot sizes along Northern boundaries should be larger/rural. Open space/parks to be provided	These recommendations assist with planned transition at Mangawhai's urban edge, reducing risk of cumulative and edge effects, and also deliver an urban form for the Rise which includes public open space for residents and public.
14	14.1	Reverse sensitivity effects	This submission demonstrates the kinds of effects that can be expected from rural activities at the Northern boundary of the Rise land. These need to be anticipated and provided for – so that any urban development is sufficiently separated from those activities and their effects. And vv (cats, lights at night)

Key specific issues are the provision for stormwater management and rules relating to lots

Submitter	Point #		Reason for Support
1	1.5	Need for stormwater plan for whole	Insufficient information or plans that give confidence adverse effects will be avoided or mitigated
2	2.3	References to existing flooding	Current conditions and flooding should form part of planning approach to stormwater planning – ie recognise and incorporate local knowledge in order to avoid repeating and intensifying flooding in future.
3	General	Refers to Env Court decision re Mangawhai Central	Private Plan Changes that lead to requirement for public funded infrastructure, should require that subdivisions cannot proceed unless necessary infrastructure provided for in either a KDC LTP or Annual Plan
36	36.1	Lack of analysis of flooding risks – further research required	Current conditions and flooding should form part of planning approach to stormwater planning – ie recognise and incorporate local knowledge in order to avoid repeating and intensifying flooding in future.

37	37.5	Need for overall land stormwater planning – not be left to subdivision stage	No subdivision consents should be granted until a detailed assessment report and stormwater management plan can adequately demonstrate to Council an appropriate level of onsite stormwater attenuation, treatment and discharge on each development, to ensure further development within the PPC area does not increase overland flow and flooding effects to other properties within the PPC area, as well as the wider catchment.
48	48.4	Portions of the plan change area have been identified in the Northland Regional Council hazard maps, and development should not occur within these areas to avoid damage from flooding.	I agree with relief sought by this submitter, that, at least: The proposed precinct plan delineates the areas subject to a 1:100 year coastal or river flood hazard on the Northland Regional Council hazard maps and identifies that these areas are unsuitable for residential development. I note the standard of storm referenced here.

Ends.